



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

*Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!*

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Jan](#) -> Here

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Santilli Debunks the debunkers

From: William White <bwhite@frognet.net>  
Date: Fri, 30 Jan 1998 13:07:05 -0500 (EST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 30 Jan 1998 20:24:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Santilli Debunks the debunkers

> Date: Wed, 28 Jan 1998 10:16:52 -0500  
> To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalseve.net>  
> From: Steven Kaeser <steve@konsulting.com>  
> Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Santilli Debunks the debunkers

> Speculation . . . speculation . . . speculation.

Well \*obviously\* ... it's speculation to say "it was a hoax", and equally speculation to say "it was real". All we know for sure is that the piece exists.

> The "experts" put the cost and expertise shown in this production  
> beyond the reach of the student hoaxer, but who knows if that was  
> just their own egos talking or a valid statement.

A question -- do we know for sure that this was shot on film and not video? If not, this makes a \*big\* difference in budget.

> Nearly all SFX experts have stated that they could do a better  
> job, but none of the attempts to re-create the video have come  
> close (IMHO). I'm not arguing that the Santilli "film" is real,  
> but rather that the attempts to recreate it all showed flaws that  
> would have quickly been pounced upon by both skeptics and  
> researchers.

Yes, but there were plenty of skeptics (not to mention medical examiners) who pounced on flaws in the Santilli film as well. So again it comes down to opinion.

> I believe there are more important issues to discuss, and until  
> some real "proof" comes along we don't need to beat this "dead  
> horse" any more.

Quite right. If I had the resources to spend on this WOMBAT I'd throw some at the local film school here and see what comes out of it, but I don't. So until then I think we can agree that there's much better evidence out there to rely on.

I am, however, worried that in 20 years we'll be totally unable to rely upon video, just as we are now unable to rely upon still photos.

-- Bill

Search for other documents to/from: [bwhite](#) | [steve](#)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.  
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).