



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Jun](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: A Reason For CSICOP Condemning The X-Files

From: Ron Decker <Ron_Decker@wavebbs.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Jun 1998 15:49:33 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 20 Jun 1998 00:51:39 -0400
Subject: Re: A Reason For CSICOP Condemning The X-Files

> From: William Hand <ufotruth@ix.netcom.com>
> Date: Thu, 18 Jun 1998 22:27:44 -0500 (CDT)
> To: updates@globalseve.net
> Subject: A Possible Reason Why CSICOP Has Condemned The X-Files Movie!

> First of all lets all think about CSICOP for a moment. What is
> CSICOP? Well, it is an organization of people that for some
> reason try and bash, trash, irrationally debunk, and discredit
> anything that could possibly be even slightly "different" from
> what the mainstream and government accepts as "reality".

> They have tried to trash, bash, and discredit every single thing
> to do with UFOs, ETs, coverups, creatures like big foot,
> telepathy, phychokinesis, and other phenomenon that are very
> interesting and could show that there is more to this world, the
> mind, and the universe than what is commonly accepted by the
> government and "mainstream" science.

> Now, why would they be doing this? They claim that it is because
> there is no chance of any of the above phenomenon being real or
> actually existing! They claim that it is dangerous for people to
> believe in anything that is even possibly "not real". Well, this

William,

I think this last paragraph exposes the crux of the matter:
CSICOP doesn't want to allow any 'unauthorized' thinking. In so
far as I'm concerned their last offering (condemnation of the
X-Files movie) provided a few moments of comedic relief on an
otherwise dreary day but their underlying message, to my way of
thinking, is dangerous. If you are not thinking 'approved'
thoughts then you are dangerous. And dangerous people are, after
all, dangerous and deserve to be squashed. All for the common
good, of course.

> that any of these interesting phenomenons are real then why in
> the heck have some of them spent years of their life trying to
> irrationally and with any justification debunk them?

Because you and I (who perhaps DO think these things are real or
MAY be deserving of investigation) are dopes, jerks, clods,
bozos, etc. who need the gentle, guiding hand of those who really
do know everything. We are NOT toeing the Party Line.

> I would simply spend my time doing something productive in
> something that I did believe in and not just waste years of my
> life trying to disprove something that I already did not believe
> existed.....

But then you are probably NOT a True Believer which these CSICOP
guys most certainly are. Fanatics are just that way for some
reason. Go figure.

[....]

> Maybe some people who work for CSICOP are really government
> agents.

Personally, I kind of doubt it because these guys (CSICOP) really don't need any government interference to ensure that they do a proper job of maligning and so forth. They are doing an admirable job all on their own.

> Well, who really knows.... But the motives of CSICOP, and those
> who are members, really seem suspicious in my opinion....

Myself included. I would go further and say that their motives are the same as those who in the past might have muttered, "But, Comrade, that sort of thinking is unacceptable," just before they adjusted the voltage.

I have greatly enjoyed everyone's comments here regarding this latest CSICOP "Warning." Really, only the other True Believers are going to take any heed. The balance (i.e., reasonable folk) are/are not going to see the movie according to their tastes. I fear that the only brainwashing going on is at CSICOP headquarters. And it appears that they haven't changed the water in some time.

BTW, Jerome Clark mentioned the Fate article, "Starbaby." If I may ask, does anyone here know where I can get a copy? My collection of Fate Magazines disappeared (mysteriously, I might add) and I would dearly love to reread that article; as I remember it was excellent. Please contact me directly if you have any information.

Best regards,
Ron Decker

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).