



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Nov](#) -> Re: Randle On the 'Roswell Memo' Images

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Randle On the 'Roswell Memo' Images

From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@brunnet.net>
Date: Sat, 28 Nov 1998 07:58:21 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 28 Nov 1998 17:38:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Randle On the 'Roswell Memo' Images

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993@aol.com>
>Date: Fri, 27 Nov 1998 18:53:26 EST
>To: updates@globalserve.net
>Subject: Re: UFO UpDate: Re: Randle On the 'Roswell Memo' Images

>>From: James Bond Johnson <JBONJO@aol.com>
>>Date: Fri, 27 Nov 1998 16:28:51 EST
>>To: updates@globalserve.net
>>Subject: UFO Update: Randle On the 'Roswell Memo' Images

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993@aol.com>
>>>Date: Fri, 27 Nov 1998 11:34:42 EST
>>>To: updates@globalserve.net
>>>Subject: The 'Roswell Memo' Images

>>>Hi List and all -

>>>Russ Estes has conducted a number of tests on the memo, letter, >>>TWX, telex seen in General Ramey's hand. Estes used a >>>professional video camera that cost about \$50,000 originally, a >>>\$7000 macro lens, and about \$50,000 worth of computer equipment. >>>He used Adobe Photoshop 4.0 as software. He also had it flat >>>scanned at 9000 dpi which created a file of about 1.5 gigabits. >>>In other words, he used the best equipment available for the >>>imagining.

>>>He also used a microscope to examine the memo. He, and others >>>used magnifying glasses, jewelers' loops, and their bare eyes. >>>They used every and all techniques to look at the memo General >>>Ramey held (and yes, they noticed that he had a ragged thumb >>>nail that could mean he bites his nails or that he had broken >>>it).

>>>According to Estes, the words lengths were visible but the >>>individual letters were open to interpretation. He said that it >>>looked to him as if it was memo was in all caps. He said the >>>only word that he could be positive about was "THE".>>

> <snip>

>>>Estes' examination simply confirmed the statements made by Dr. >>>Richard Haines who undertook an examination of the memo about >>>five years ago. Dr. Haines said that he couldn't read much other >>>than a few scattered letters.

>>>It will be interesting to see what others see when they complete >>>their examinations of the memo. We all must be careful that we >>>don't see what we want to see in the memo.

>>>KRandle

>>It seems that Kevin Randle has taken a leaf out of the US
>>Government SOP manual: when any issue is raised about Roswell,
>>just quickly announce that they have conducted a SOLO examination
>>(usually by the CIA!) and "there was nothing there!"

>Just as quickly? I believe that these messages have been posted for
>weeks so it wasn't quickly. It wasn't a SOLO examination, it was just
>one more in a long line of examinations being conducted by a number
>of different individuals.

>And I didn't say there was nothing there. I said that most of
>what is on the memo is open to interpretation, which it is.
>Everyone has noted that he or she believes that certain words
>can be read but there are simply the best guess available.

>>It seems rather curious that Kevin would not have joined with
>>Stan Friedman and several other prominent Roswell researchers --
>>and even several outspoken skeptics -- in working closely with
>>the volunteer but highly professional international RPIT (Roswell
>>Photo Interpretation Team) in their quest for the truth re the
>>Roswell Event.

>When all of this began, I sent the RPIT a report on what Dr.
>Haines had discovered a number of years ago. I received a nice
>reply but at the time had nothing to add. I thought that many
>_independent_ investigations and interpretations should be made
>of the memo so that we would have a better chance of understand
>what was on the memo. I don't believe anyone will argue that any
>of that message can be clearly read so we are all working with
>interpretations. I don't think we should follow one path to the
>exclusion of the others.

>>Perhaps it is because none of the RPIT investigators has any
>>book to sell or any other vested interest in the outcome of
>>their thorough Roswell Revisitation.

>I don't remember mentioning any books in my post. I notice that
>Stan mentioned a CD ROM that he has to sell. That certainly
>doesn't suggest that Stan's work can be ignored because he is
>trying to sell his CD.

>What I see here is that my cautionary words have been changed
>into something that I didn't say. I will say it again. Nearly
>everything in the memo is open to interpretation. Some of that
>interpretation is highly speculative. We are assuming here that
>the memo has something to do with the Roswell events. What if it
>is about the number of people who have been injured by sunstroke
>in the 8th Air Force during the month of July?

>No, I'm not suggesting that at all. I'm merely saying that we
>must not allow our enthusiasm overwhelm us here or we'll end up
>with another Jim Ragsdale, Max Littell, or Glenn Dennis.

>KRandle

I think the comment by Bond about people selling books was a
cheap shot.

I mentioned the CD ROM because it has the best source data
available namely scans of the negative (back and front portions
of the memo region, and of the entire uncropped negative), and
of a huge enlargement (equivalent to a 55x70 print)of just the
memo portion, and of a 20 year old print (the fingernail area of
the negative hadn't yet been scratched) as well as of an 11x14

As far as I know, no one else has the scans of an old print, of
the greatly enlarged memo portion of the print (made by UTA from
the original negative) nor the scans of the negative.

It cost me \$500. to have the negative scanned. I am offering a
CD ROM with all this and more to everybody for \$25. Rob Belyea
has already put in a ton of time at no compensation.

Neither Dick Haines nor Russ had this quality information to
work with.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).