



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Nov](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: SETI Scientists Petition White House

From: Serge Salvaille <sergesa@connectmmic.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Nov 1998 14:22:33 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Nov 1998 18:37:08 -0500
Subject: Re: SETI Scientists Petition White House

>From: Roger Evans <moviestuff@cyberjunkie.com>
>Date: Fri, 27 Nov 1998 14:14:42 +0000
>Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Nov 1998 15:09:07 -0500
>Subject: Re: SETI Scientists Petition White House

>>From: Serge Salvaille <sergesa@connectmmic.net>
>>Date: Thu, 26 Nov 1998 21:37:02 -0500
>>Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Nov 1998 13:30:54 -0500
>>Subject: Re: SETI Scientists Petition White House

>Previously Larry Hatch offered:

>>>For the life of me, I cannot understand some of the anti-SETI
>>>messages recently.

>Serge's rather rude response was:

>
>>Then I suggest you read the posts more carefully and try to
>>understand the situation.
>

Hold your horses Roger, and don't make this a personal debate.

SETI Institute's Internet site is at: <http://www.seti-inst.edu/>

I urge you to go to the FAQ, get to "V. Related Information" and try: <http://www.seti-inst.edu/faq.html#anchor240777> to reach: "Do you have any pictures of UFO's or aliens?"

Feel free to thrash around. Read on:

"Do you have any pictures of UFO's or aliens? No. At this time, there is no compelling scientific evidence to support the idea that extraterrestrials are here or on their way. The reasons for this are detailed in our document entitled "Why the SETI Institute does no UFO Research".

Great! Let's click on: "Why the SETI Institute does no UFO Research" and reach: <http://www.seti-inst.edu/ufo.html> to feed on the following manure:

"In addition to the unlikelihood that we have been visited by extraterrestrials, there is no scientific evidence to prove it"

We even get direct links to:

CSICOP and The Society for Scientific Exploration,

But not for:

CUFOS <http://www.cufos.org/> (civil address only)
MUFON <http://www.mufon.com/> (civil address only)
CUFON (<http://www.cufon.org/>) (not mentioned)
Blackvault (<http://www.blackvault.com/>) (not mentioned)
Temporal Doorway (<http://www.geocities.com/~mcashman/>) (not mentioned)

etc.

Don't you get the impression SETI is sort of an inner sanctum standing right in the middle an outer rectum?

>You make a pretty strong accusation. Please give us names of any
>SETI supporters that laugh at terrestrial based UFO research. My
>money is on the bet that you can't.

Since you want names, let me propose all the members of the Board of Directors (Frank Drake, President William Welch, Vice President Thomas Pierson, Secretary/Treasurer, John Billingham, Sandra Faber Andrew Fraknoi, Steven Mourning), the members of the Senior Scientific Personnel (Dr. Frank Drake, Dr. Jill Tarter, Dr. John Billingham, Dr. Harold Klein, Dr. Bernard M. Oliver) and the Principal Investigators (all members of NASA - Cristina Dalle Ore, Edna DeVore, Friedemann Freund & Minoru Freund, Minoru Freund Virginia Gulick, Jon Jenkins, Peter Jenniskens, Harold P. Klein, Shoudan Liang, Rocco Mancinelli, John Marshall, Jeff Moore, Richard Quinn, Farid Salama, Julie Paque, Margaret Race, Todd Sauke, Tom Shen, Seth Shostak, Jan Simpson, Jay Skiles, David Summers, Jill Tarter, Arthur Weber).

They are all accessories to the crime of obscurantism for standing behind an organization advocating the following (allow me the capitals):

"HOWEVER, YOU MAY WISH TO REFLECT ON THE FACT THAT IF THERE WERE INTERESTING, VERIFIABLE EVIDENCE THAT EXTRATERRESTRIALS WERE VISITING OUR PLANET, TENS OF THOUSANDS OF UNIVERSITY SCIENTISTS WOULD BE BUSY INVESTIGATING THIS IDEA. THEY'RE NOT."
(<http://www.seti-inst.edu/faq.html#anchor240777>)

I hope the wake-up call isn't to rough on spill-minded UFO buffs who believe that SETI and ufologists are on the same side.

Now, how much money did you figure to put in your bet? I hope you can show some reciprocity and give me some names of SETI big shots willing to officially deny SETI's own medieval mediocrity.

No names?

Thought so.

You have a proclivity to crash-courses in psychology:

<snip>

>Your grudge is with the wrong people. Grow up.

<snip>

>I don't normally reduce myself to insults, but you are being
>just plain stupid.

<snip>

>If only you had any idea of the number of bricks you just laid
>with your obviously hate-filled statements. But I'm sure irony
>is lost on you.

This here bad guy asks you if, in light of the verifiable SETI statements I have just provided, my

>>Any 30 years \$100-million flopped project supported by
>>mainstream science on the hypothesis of extra-terrestrial life
>>must be questioned by people involved in a 50 years \$0.00
>>research who came up with evidence - people who are still
>>laughed at by the same SOBs who support the fore-mentioned
>>project.

<snip>

>>I hope SETI keeps on being a flop. Why? Because any success
>>would give the same SOBs more un-earned respectability and more
>>un-earned credibility. The people from SETI are, in my opinion,
>>on the OTHER side of the fence: the side of mainstream science,
>>which has deliberately and malevolently kept ufology in a
>>ghetto.

...are still uncalled for?

My \$0.03 CAN that the facts - from the horse's mouth one might
say - won't change a bit your belief in a benevolent mainstream
science.

What can I say? Eh?

As for your delightful:

>Again, Serge let his true nature show:

You have no idea who I am. I am used to deal with raw - not
rotten - material, and I usually don't wear gloves to express my
ideas, although hurting people will never be an option. Let me
say this:

May we be reminded that the Stockholm syndrome is broadly the
sympathy of a victim for an abuser because this sympathy is the
only escape to sanity considering the stress generated by the
abuser. My opinion is that UFO researchers should show no
sympathy towards SETI.

I am sure this will bring me plenty of rotten tomatoes.

Will it alleviate my case if I add:

eh?

No?

What about eheheh?

Thought so,

Bottom's up,

Serge Salvaille
AKA Dr WhyIsAKAAlwaysFollowedByDrOrDuke?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).

