



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1998](#) -> [Sep](#) -> Roswell, and 'Alien Rapture'

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Roswell, and 'Alien Rapture'

From: Gildas Bourdais <gildas.bourdais@hol.fr>
Date: Tue, 08 Sep 1998 19:03:36 +0200
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Sep 1998 10:48:48 -0400
Subject: Roswell, and 'Alien Rapture'

Dear List members,

Here are some remarks about two recent discussions on the list.

First the Roswell crash:

>Date: Fri, 4 Sep 1998 17:16:18 -0500 (CDT)
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>From: Dennis Stacy <dstacy@texas.net>
>Subject: Re: Symbols Discovered on Roswell Crash Photo

>>From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@aol.com>
>>Date: Tue, 18 Aug 1998 19:53:49 EDT
>>To: updates@globalserve.net
>>Subject: Re: Symbols Discovered on Roswell Crash Photo

Dennis Stacy replied to David Rudiak, who had noted that general Ramey had begun to release the balloon explanation "before Marcel's plane even arrived in Fort Worth".

Dennis wrote:

>He would not have had to wait for a plane to land, in other words,
>if someone on the ground in Roswell had already concluded that a
>huge mistake had been made".

But who could have done that?

Colonel Blanchard had left the base in the afternoon shortly after the plane had taken off for Fort Worth. On board were five officers, according to the testimony of flight engineer Robert Porter:

Lt. Col. Payne Jennings, the Deputy Commander of the base; Lt. Col. Robert I. Barrowclough; Maj. Herb Wunderlich; Maj. Jesse Marcel, and Captain William E. Anderson, "who said it was from a flying saucer". This is in the Affidavit of Porter, unabridged version published in Karl Pflock's 'Roswell in Perspective' (p.165).

BTW, The version published in the 'Roswell Report' does not give the names of the officers, but it is the book!

It seems very hard to imagine how another officer at Roswell might have decided, all by himself, after the departure on vacation of Col. Blanchard, that they had all made a huge mistake. Then called General Ramey to warn him, whereupon Ramey

would have begun denying the press release of the morning, before the arrival of the plane.

Yes, this is "astounding"!

Dennis Stacy notes further, about Col. Blanchard:

>How, then, did he manage to issue a press release stating that a
>relatively intact flying disc had been hauled into a rancher's
>shed for storage ? No pro-Roswell researcher that I know has
>ever adequately addressed this question. May you be the first.
>Note that Blanchard screwed up royally in this regard -- by
>issuing the press release without approval from higher
>headquarters -- but that he, too, was later promoted.

It is true that the press release remains very bizarre, even today. But there are many reasons to consider a completely different scenario.

I discussed this problem with Walter Haut, who told me twice that there was very tight discipline on the base, and that Col. Blanchard would never have ordered such a press release by himself. Drawing from all the researchers who have studied Roswell, prominently Kevin Randle, we can perceive another scenario which would explain the facts.

A crashed disc had been retrieved, in complete secrecy, before the discovery of the debris field, which came as a shock when Brazel arrived in Roswell with some samples on Sunday 6.

We know from the testimony of General DuBose that these samples were sent by plane all the way to Washington in a sealed pouch, with a stop at Fort Worth (DuBose was there).

We may assume that, during Monday 7, a lot of discussion took place between the Pentagon, Fort Worth and Roswell, to decide a policy regarding this debris field, while Marcel and Cavitt were exploring it on the Foster ranch.

The situation worsened on early Tuesday morning when they made their report, and when they realised that Brazel had been lodged during the night and interviewed by Walt Whitmore, Director of the KGFL radio.

It seems plausible to me that a double-action was then decided on:

First, to try to impose secrecy on the second discovery, but they were not sure how to be able to do that, since it was a huge debris field on open land. (How long had it been there and seen by how many people who might have picked up pieces? Indeed, some kids did that).

So, they decided on a second option - the press release, that could be denied as soon as the situation was brought under control.

This is what happened toward the end of the morning:

The debris field was cordoned-off, Brazel was led to the base, and Whitmore was persuaded not to broadcast his interview.

As soon as the beginning of the afternoon, the balloon story, already under preparation, could be released.

Gen. DuBose has testified that he received the balloon debris in an open canvas pouch:

Marcel was not on the plane, and DuBose never saw the small boxes carefully wrapped, as described by Porter.

As for the bizarre description of a "relatively intact flying disc" in the press release, it may have been a way to try to give a credible account to the press, in a rather clumsy way.

Interestingly, it does not correspond at all to the Mogul debris!

I would like now to comment on the discussion about the book 'Alien Rapture' by Fouch=E9 and Steiger.

I don't like the violent criticisms made on the list, but I must admit that there are many problems with it.

I tried to read the book but I stopped, for the first time, when I reached pages 52 and 53.

On page 52, the authors tell of the discovery of a crashed B-29 bomber during the war in Korea, with the crew mutilated. I was very perplexed because I remembered a similar story, but it was a B-52 in Vietnam. And here it is, on page 5 !

This bothers me a lot.

There is another apparent contradiction, on p.. 96.

In the same paragraph, the authors write that the vehicle mass is reduced by ninety-nine percent, and three lines later, by eighty-nine percent. On page 97, it becomes ninety percent. Make up your mind, gentlemen !

However, I am grateful to David Rudiak for his very clear explanations on the concomitant reduction of G forces if the mass is "reduced"! Pretty obvious, though, but it has to be said.

And I am sorry to disagree with the reply of Tim Matthews (message of 5 September):

>In fact you are supporting this manipulator's wild speculations.
>Fouch=E9 is making it all up as we all know. Did you know he's a
>direct descendent of Napoleon ?

Well, first, according to the introduction of Brad Steiger, Fouch=E9 is a descendent, not of Napoleon, but of Joseph Fouch=E9 who was Napoleon's Prime Minister and the head of the secret police.

Second, we have here a new an original kind scientific argumentation which we might label "the proof by Napoleon"!

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).

