



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Apr](#) -> Re: 'Alien' Skeleton In MUFON Journal

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: 'Alien' Skeleton In MUFON Journal

From: Jimmie L. Holman <holman@holman.net>
Date: Mon, 29 Mar 1999 11:18:42 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 01 Apr 1999 16:25:09 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Alien' Skeleton In MUFON Journal

[Non-Subscriber Post]

I would like to correct a couple of possible misconceptions that have been recently stated both publically and privately. Josh should in no way feel any "blame" for creating a controversy ... but rather be commended for helping bring it further out into the light for closer and more public examination.

In all fairness, Mr. Goldstein's comment in his previous post, that I "claimed it was fake" may not be completely correct ... although at this point, I certainly do believe there is excellent chance of that and multiple reasons to cast doubt. Much of that doubt is based particullarily on information I personally collected and first hand testimony that was directly provided without modification in the words of those who specifically were present at the event (including the verbal comments of the Texas State Director for MUFON). I own the photos, negs, and all copyrights to all those photographs and many others both posted and not on my site. Additionally ... I HAVE read the Professors books .. and the quotes indicated in the narrative furnished, were directly from his book. Additionally I have in my possession, recorded conversation made at the MUFON gathering further substanciating my claims.

It might be important to note that I have received, as a result of that posting, direct criticism (if not downright attacks) evidently based largely on inaccurate and (im told) downright slanderous information now being provided by the local Dallas mufon. It was obvious that both persons that I have had negative contact with since the original posting were both alledged "Investigators" or represent them self as such with the local group. (One of which had not seen the artifact or any documentation, but was basing his opinion, comments, and actions entirely on hearsay.) With "personal" attacks like these .. I certainly understand why persons are reluctant to come forward.

Even though I have received these few "negative" responses ... fortunately ... the vast majority of the persons/viewers/readers were obviously able to see through the problems and understand the real messages being conveyed... which was CLEARLY stated on the very first page. I have received from many MUFON people, much "thanks" for NOT presenting it in an anti-mufon format ... which could have been easily done. They admitted there was entirely too much embarasement from responsible factions already for the way it was presented by MUFON headquarters without it being investigated first. The facts remain ... there was little if anything in my article that was NOT available to mufon

investigators PRIOR TO presentation in December.... and definately in the months that followed. If even a few people understand the intended and stated messages and we use that frame of thought to evaluate future and past finds, then the efforts have been well worth it. The overwhelmingly positive response (and the some 15-20,000 hits per day) encouragingly suggests that the many persons who heard of this controversy did at least a bit of FURTHER investigating to find out more on this issue.

I continue to stand 100% behind each and every comment, claim, opinion or observation I reported. I have in my possession even more substanciation for what has been stated. If needed ... I may be making them publically available, however ... it could be VERY embarassing to MUFON and as I have repeatedly stated .. that is NOT the purpose or intent unless mufon themselves make that disclosure necessary.

It would be a wonderful thing if indeed this "find" were proven to be something legitimate. As I have previously mentioned, probably few persons were better qualified or in a better position to document, prove, and publically and responsibly realease said discovery. We must ask WHY he didn't if it were truely a genuine find. We must also ask WHY what documentation was prepared in a "tounge-in-cheek" book format. We should probably ask WHY it was presented with other artifacts MUFON supposedly admits are creations yet somehow selectively segragates this as real as opposed to created. We also must ask WHERE the elluded to "documentation" and letters are that have been refered to. (I would have thought that would be quite important.) We should definately ask if there are any financial motives of the family (as in books and movie deals) involved with or surrounding the publicity of this or even that of MUFON in the times of dwindling membership ... and YES ... even what I might have to gain (or loose). Lastly, we must ask, WHY, if mufon has had accesability to this artifact, have they done little, if any investigation into this matter. ... Including addressing or responding to the issues that were brought up in December of last year. (with the exception of some misspelled words ... the original post has not really been signifigantly altered)

I have raised questions and made observations, and have been personally attacked for that. Well ... im sure I will survive that, and "life" will go on. I find it amusing for Walt's reported comment that "Jimmie L. Holman had received misinformation secondhand." could be made. At best, he mostly could only have second hand information himself of what source/s and materials I myself have. I have disclosed my sources to few others. Any "misinformation" or misdirection I received came from the MUFON Texas State Director himself ... and because of the recorded written followups, there was little chance for any miscommunication unless intentional on the part of MUFON.

With THAT type of supposition and environment ... I truely wonder if we will ever get from MUFON an unbiased or impartial report of findings or will be able to truely trust what information IS finally disclosed.

However ... based on the overwhelming positive response ... I feel that with the obviously many logical minds, and clearly deductive thinkers, WE .. as a group ... WILL , sooner or later, make that important responsible "find" and be able to properly and conclusively share that find with the rest of the world.

Jimmie L. Holman
www.holman.net/ufo/alienskeleton/

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).