



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Apr](#) -> **Re: Friedman vs. Krauss Debate**

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Friedman vs. Krauss Debate

From: Serge Salvaille <sergesa@connectmmic.net>
Date: Thu, 01 Apr 1999 21:23:24 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 02 Apr 1999 05:11:39 -0500
Subject: Re: Friedman vs. Krauss Debate

>Date: Thu, 1 Apr 1999 15:13:35 -0800 (PST)
>From: Scott LeGrand <varelse@best.com>
>To: updates@globalserve.net
>Subject: Re: Friedman vs. Krauss Debate

>>From: Joe Murgia <Ufojoel@aol.com>
>>Date: Sun, 28 Mar 1999 23:53:15 EST
>>To: updates@globalserve.net
>>Subject: Friedman vs. Krauss Debate

>>On Tuesday March 30th at 10:00pm EST, Sightings on the Radio and
>>Jeff Rense will be hosting a debate between Stanton Friedman and
>>Lawrence Krauss. Stanton needs no introduction but many of you
>>may not be aware of who Krauss is.

>One short comment: isn't it possible that some of these scientists
>that looked at the evidence, actually did so in an objective way,
>and concluded that it was lacking? Why is it the minute someone
>expresses skepticism about the reality of UFOs, they're accused
>of losing their objectivity? That doesn't sound very objective
>to me...

>Scott

Hello Scott,

To express skepticism towards the existence of UFOs generally
implies ignorance of the data available during the last 50 years
or so.

All, and I mean ALL, the 'skeptical' opinions I have ever read
or heard regarding the reality of UFOs presented one or all of
the following flaws:

1. Ignorance of the data at hand;
2. Deliberate ignorance of the data at hand.

Can an opinion based on ignorance (deliberate or not) be
considered objective?

No.

Can it be considered scientific?

No.

Of course, we are not talking here about specific cases, or the

Strieber show.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).