



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

*Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!*

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Dec](#) -> Re: 'Abductions: The Truth'

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: 'Abductions: The Truth'

From: John C. Thompson <gin@wp-lag.mindspring.com>  
Date: Sat, 18 Dec 1999 20:10:40 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Dec 1999 22:50:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: 'Abductions: The Truth'

>Date: Sat, 18 Dec 1999 11:07:12 -0600  
>From: Dr. Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <ufomiami@prodigy.net>  
>Subject: 'Abductions: The Truth'  
>To: UFO UpDates <updates@sympatico.ca>

>Dear Mr. John C. Thompson

>I read your 'Abductions: The Truth' and here are some of my  
>points:

Thanks for taking the time to read it.

>We have to compare the abductions reports with the sighteeng  
>reports. We can't said they are all "extraterrestrial" or  
>"Innerterrestrial as you point out. After severe study, manny  
>UFOs sighting became IFOs, the same goes to the "abductions".

When I speak of any being extraterrestrail I mean what has been  
termed "true" UFOs; not IFOs or unexplained natural phenomenon  
which in my estimation constitute over 95 percent of all  
reports.

>Yes, there are abductions cases with physical proof and reliable  
>witnesses. We studied one of those cases; the Filiberto  
>Cardena's case.

I'm sorry but I was unable to examine your report as I have an  
old 486 and dated Netscape browser; I got a "netscape protection  
fault failure" when I went to your site. This I must ask, how do  
you know you have physical proof? .

Also did you investigate the case yourself or personally know  
the investigator who did it? If the latter, do you trust this  
investigator's methods fully?

Are you sure the physical proof was caused by the alleged  
abduction? Or do you just know it occurred around the same time  
and assume such?

Was the case investigated in near real time or much later? On  
the witnesses, were they independent or are they related to each  
other? I must say if they are related then you don't have true  
independent witnesses.

Here is an example of a true independent witness: I had a couple  
see a "moon" UFO at close quarters. The UFO was intially  
hovering then left like a streak of lightning.

Twelve miles away, another person who did not the other witnesses or know of the event, saw, from her limited vantage point, a "shooting star" go westward and upward.

This witness reported this to me the very next day before I had learned of the moon close-encounter. Her estimate of distance-- she said "eleven miles"--direction and apparent size all fit in exactly with what she should have seen from her vantage point.

Here is an example of what is not true independent witnesses: I did another close-encounter case with a mini-van size UFO. An entire family saw it just above their heads. Some friends claim to have seen it also.

Now while I believe that is what happened you could not say these other folks--despite being down the street--are true independent witnesses in the purest sense.

It also troubled me that this lady had a sister and niece who had had a dramatic close-encounter and had received much attention over it.

Nothing I can prove but it bothers me. On the sister and niece's UFO encounter, one of the best in Georgia or anywhere. Despite more witnesses with the other case, I judge the other better as they ran into a stranger's house they were so terrified.

A strange noise was also heard immediately before by home dwellers. They called a TV station it bothered them so much. I judged the sister to be of strong character.

That's where the judgement and experience of the investigator comes in. It's not always easy. I can tell you I'm a better investigator after many, many cases.

Did any independent witnesses see an airborne craft while the alleged abduction was taking place? Did you do background checks on all of these folks and see how reliable any and all witnesses were?

I must say I have had all kinds of STORIES regarding physical proof. The closest I came was a much dated case in Alexander City, Alabama. But the witnesses did not see a UFO; they assumed it could've been a UFO that caused it.

Ten years later there were marks in the concrete driveway when I met them. The only real strength was that the witnesses were totally beyond reproach and well educated and respected in their community. But that doesn't prove a UFO made the marks.

had others who claimed they've UFOs landed but didn't prove it to me. I've seen a small crop circle where there was much UFO activity in an area but again no UFO was seen to make the circle. Much trace evidence when carefully examine falls along these lines.

Something is seen strange and UFO activity is going on and assumptions are made. Of course we all know why mainstream science won't accept any of this is that even if a connection could be proven the "evidence" is never of out-of-this world material; the ultimate proof, which never exists.

I know of one lady here in Georgia who I consider reliable who says she saw some entities in her bedroom and that a scorched mark was left on her blanket the next morning. I do not doubt what she saw but the evidence has proved inconclusive on what it is. Certainly nothing extraterrestrial or alien. Some have said a textile imperfection.

To her credit she never claim she saw the entities make the mark; only that it was there the next morning and she doesn't know where it came from.

For many, maybe most in the UFO community, this would be "physical trace" evidence. Not in my book and not in anyone else's that is truly objective.

>Hybrid experiments are rare in South America; Villas Boa's  
>encounter is different and it could be compared to those here.

I do not believe at all in hybrid experiments. There is not one ounce of proof for alien-human intercourse. Let us see one of these physical creatures!

>Of course, there are demons, gnomes, ghosts, fairies, and so on. The same way there are satellites, ball of Fire, asteroids, birds, and so on in the sky.

That's right but the entities are what I call interterrestrials (INTs). I suspect they were/are real. But their not physical creatures as we know them. Much like the chupacabras and Bigfoot, haints or shadows or grays. Its all connected.

The other is only natural phenonemon that is often, particularlyly satellites and meteors, confused for UFOs.

>The real entities (extraterrestrial) with their abduction programs, manipulating our conscience throughout the world, are >violating our freedom of throught and conscience. They are a >real danger in our conscience.

Interestingly, this same Georgia lady I speak of above thought she saw several grays with at least one of them having a Panama Jack style hat on... that is, a hat with wide brim that is turned down.

She believes the entities she saw were of extraterrestrial origin. Well I have two other witnesses, all living in different cities in Georgia, who saw the same type hat on their entities.

Despite one these witness being an earlier UFO witness, neither of them thought they saw grays. They all thought they saw haints or INTs.

Now, how can it be that three entities are seen by three strangers living in seperate cities and they all wear the same type hat? I believe all of them saw the same type alien and it is an INT.

It should be pointed out that none of these witnesses, one black male, one white female and one black female, saw any spacecraft with their entities. All entities were only bedroom visitors.

No one else is known living around or with them that saw any UFOs near their homes on the night of their visitations. For that matter no one else saw their entities as they saw them.

Yet, you can't blow it all away as nothing because of the same hats worn by all three entities. None of these folks had any way of knowing what the others saw.

The first drawing was faxed to me by another investigator who did the so-called gray case. I had the other two witnesses, two months apart as I came to the cases, draw what they saw on their entities.

These two were also not told that anybody else had seen a hat on an entity. They brought it up themselves in their respective interviews. Only then did I ask them to draw the type hats they saw

Only after doing their drawings did I tell them others were seeing entities with the same type hat. So something real here!

I agree with everything you say in your last snip except "the threat"--as Dr. Jacobs likes to call it--is NOT extraterrestrial for reasons stated in my article.

Can INTs hurt people despite not being physical creatures as we know them? I am open to this because of other cirmcustances I'm aware of regarding other INT sightings. How this is possible is unknown to me and far from conclusive.

I also have investigated a murder case here that seemed to be out of character. The murderer claims to have seen a haint when she was 14 years old. She says she heard the "devil talking" in her head when she stabbed her new-born baby to death.

It made a roaring noise as the baby came out.

This woman is a mother of another child who by all accounts--and

I did check extensively on all of this, talking to many he knew or worked with her--loved this child dearly. She also has never done drugs or drinks excessivley or exhibited violent behavior..

She was gainfully employed. The house allegely had extreme INT activity several months before the murder.

According to her mother and sister she, her brother and son fled the house in terror and didn't return for two nights. The murder caught my attention because of numerous UFO cases, including the mini-van one of above, I had already done on this same street and area in 1995.

TV-33 of LaGrange even filmed a UFO here. (Well, it was not proven as such but that's another story.)

Their are complications: I, believe she killed the baby because she was, perhaps, afraid that her boyfriend would leave her if she had the baby. (He is the father of the other child and did leave her for awhile after its birth according to the mother and sister..)

She was also into "Root". Don't know alot about this but something along the lines of voodoo. Someone makes up potions, ect. It's a strange world we live in!

Whatever is coming into people's houses is evil; that I'm sure of.

---

Search for other documents to/from: [gin](#)

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.  
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).