



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Feb](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Abduction - The Issue Of Reality

From: **Serge Salvaille** <sergesa@connectmmic.net>
Date: Tue, 09 Feb 1999 00:06:54 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Feb 1999 10:22:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Abduction - The Issue Of Reality

>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 1999 17:44:44 -0500
>To: "UFO UpDates Subscribers";
>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: Abduction - The Issue Of Reality

>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>From: Serge Salvaille <sergesa@connectmmic.net>
>Subject: Re: Abduction - The Issue Of Reality
>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 1999 13:16:29 -0500

Just wondering,

A couple of days ago, I wrote a post to Kevin Randle and Mark Cashman.

I presented evidence concerning the questionable reliability of testimony from a witness submitted to a very high stress situation and I applied the reasoning to the abduction phenomena.

The pertinence of the matter in the study of abductions cannot be contested. It is very uncomfortable for any investigator.

I do recall the "make reality fit within your own boundaries" syndrome has been mentioned by Hynek and Vall=E9e. But this idea does not seem to have been clearly understood by modern researchers who are still at each other's throats - so to speak - when it comes to the validity of witness testimony in the context of abduction.

I offered the idea that abductologists and investigators may be on the wrong track if they rely solely on witness testimony for argumentation and understanding of the whole phenomena.

I suggested that, maybe, _other_ means should be considered.

In my view, the whole testimony concept should be seriously addressed and new avenues explored.

Never got a peep. Sure, the quibble got going between the big shots of ufology, from nay saying to congratulations.

Can someone explain me the rules of the new game in town?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).