



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jan](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: 1999 UFO Alien Abduction Conference Announced

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
Date: Fri, 29 Jan 99 11:02:31 PST
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Jan 1999 12:24:01 -0500
Subject: Re: 1999 UFO Alien Abduction Conference Announced

>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>From: Serge Salvaille <sergesa@connectmmic.net>
>Subject: Re: 1999 UFO Alien Abduction Conference Announced
>Date: Wed, 27 Jan 1999 23:28:17 -0500

<snip>

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993@aol.com>
>>>Date: Tue, 26 Jan 1999 22:23:11 EST
>>>To: updates@globalserve.net
>>>Subject: Re: 1999 UFO Alien Abduction Conference Announced

<snip>

>Jerome,

>Please note that we are talking about a proven investigator,
>with lots of credentials, and about to publish a book on the
>subject of abduction.

>If things happened the way Kevin Randle implies they happened...
>THAT conference is, in my opinion, suspicious.

>You are perfectly correct in stating that the organizers have
>the right to invite whom they want to invite. But, isn't that a
>straw man argument? Of course, they have the right to endorse
>the point of view they want. But isn't that the real problem
>with ufology in general and abductions in particular: turning
>one's back on analysis and advocate "opinions" instead?

Serge,

No, it's not a straw-man argument, and Kevin himself has since
acknowledged that conference organizers on any subject can
invite, or not invite, whomever they choose, without being
singled out for criticism.

I have no idea what you mean by "turning one's back on analysis"
to "advocate `opinions' instead." Agree or disagree with them,
Hopkins and Jacobs have spent much time analyzing the abduction
phenomenon. Their opinions are not snatched out of thin air but
come from a considerable amount of personal investigation and
reflection. My opinions, right or wrong, come out of analysis
and investigation. So, for that matter, do Stan Friedman's.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).