



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)  
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)  
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)  
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore  
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Here

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Satanic Abuse

From: John Velez <[jvif@spacelab.net](mailto:jvif@spacelab.net)>  
Date: Sat, 3 Jul 1999 03:38:25 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 03 Jul 1999 12:59:54 -0400  
Subject: Re: Satanic Abuse

>From: Roger Evans <[moviestuff@cyberjunkie.com](mailto:moviestuff@cyberjunkie.com)>  
>Date: Thu, 01 Jul 1999 21:35:21 +0000  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>Subject: Re: Satanic Abuse

>>Re: Satanic Abuse

>>From: John Velez <[jvif@spacelab.net](mailto:jvif@spacelab.net)>  
>>Date: Wed, 30 Jun 1999 15:15:46 -0400  
>>Fwd Date: Thu, 01 Jul 1999 00:29:30 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Satanic Abuse

>>>From: Leanne Martin <[leanne\\_martin@hotmail.com](mailto:leanne_martin@hotmail.com)>  
>>>To: [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
>>>Subject: Re: Satanic Abuse  
>>>Date: Tue, 29 Jun 1999 19:20:38 PDT

>Previously, Leanne offered this reasonable idea:

>>>Has anybody given thought to the idea that the 'wrecked lives'  
>>>and the 'abduction experience' may be just symptoms of some  
>>>other condition?

>John replied:

>>It never ceases to amaze me how witness testimony (when it  
>>relates to UFOs or UFO occupant sightings) can be dismissed or  
>>minimized by declaring/insinuating/assuming that it is the  
>>result of "some other condition." In ufology (for some reason)  
>>all witness testimony is immediately considered suspect when it  
>>is a UFO \_occupant\_ incident that is being reported. It must be  
>>"something else" or some other "condition" as you so  
>>indelicately phrase it.

><snip>

>>At that  
>>point the interpretation becomes a manifestation of individual  
>>denial and the act of someone who is being (subjectively)  
>>selective about \_what\_parts\_ of any given testimony he or she is  
>>going to give credence to. I'll believe this part, but I won't  
>>believe that part because it doesn't fit into my personal world  
>>view. What is that?

Roger wrote:

>Hi, John.

>"What is that?"

>Well, to be quite frank, it's exactly what \_you\_ do. It's also  
>what I do. It's what anyone does with no facts or evidence or

>proof regarding a given situation.

There is a difference Roger. I understand what you are saying in regard to folks who are 'studying' the phenomenon, but my "beliefs and convictions" are based on very personal experience. I have seen these things at uncomfortably close range. I'm not talking about anything 'nebulous' either. I'm talking about an undeniable experience, (in broad daylight and in the company other witnesses) one that quite literally robs you of any possibility for entertaining a 'alternate explanations.' Life experiences such as what I have described have a way of effecting you deeply and leaving you with a sense of genuine urgency and strong opinions in regard to existing investigation efforts. Especially when those investigative efforts seem directed at just about anything and everything with the rather odd exception of what is actually being reported.

So it's not a matter of "show me" or "where's the proof" for me. It's about an uphill fight to be taken seriously and at one's word. And not just for myself either. I imagine that in 1999 values like that sounds about as "strange" as reports UFOs or alien abductions, but that is where I am coming from/ responding from. (Regardless of how others may be interpreting my words or intentions.)

>So unless you have undeniable proof hidden away that has  
>never been shared with this list, then you are also "being  
>(subjectively) selective about what parts of any given testimony  
>you give credence to."

I already have (my) "undeniable proof" Roger. I have no idea what may constitute "undeniable proof" for you or anyone else. That's why I wrote the following in my original:

>>I'm pretty much of a mind that the only thing that is ever  
>>going to convince anyone is if they experience it/see it all for  
>>themselves.

I cannot "arrange" for such an experience or "undeniable proof" for anyone. Sorry. It just seems that we live in time when a mans' word means less than nothing, (crying shame) and that it is ok and proper to investigate or entertain just about any explanation as long as it isn't the one that is actually being reported.

>After all, what other choice do any of us really have? All of  
>us, including you, are just guessing.

Not so for "all of us" Roger. Reread the above. :)

>However, we do so using  
>our own individual belief system based on what's important to  
>each of us.

You're wrong fella. I don't base any of my "beliefs" re: UFOs and alien abduction solely on "what's important to me." It is based on direct life experience. Seems like today many have become convinced that their own senses cannot be trusted. I am not among those. I know what I have seen and experienced in the full light of day (and consciousness) and among others who could corroborate it.

No one should base their opinions or beliefs solely on "what is important to them" as you say. Beliefs -should always have- much stronger underpinnings than that. I question everything Roger. I didn't come by any of my convictions easily or casually.

>Leanne simply guesses in a way that "doesn't fit  
>into your personal world view," as you put it.

Leanne is entitled to any "guesses" she may care to take. I was responding to her use of the term "condition" (implying something other than an actual experience) when referring to reports of UFO abduction. Like I said, it would be nice to see some folks investigating what is being reported first before creating explanations that they are comfortable with. I don't recall seeing any reports of abduction or UFO sightings that were prefaced by; "because of my 'condition' I saw or experienced the following." Reports, especially contact reports from reputable, honest people should be checked out like cops do, as they are reported before allowing ones own personal

speculations to enter the picture.

>Chill out, dude. These are just tiny little words on a CRT.

Words are so powerful Roger. Whole civilisations have been formed and turned on mere words. There are few things in life with as much power as "tiny little words."

I'm not 'excited' or 'upset' Roger. Don't need to "chill." But, I do hope it is ok with you if like Leanne, I too express my "beliefs and convictions." :)

This has wandered far from the theme of the original thread which was not my intention. Just wanted to add a comment or two is all. It shouldn't be interpreted or taken as my trying to impose my beliefs or convictions on anyone. Like everyone else on the list, I am simply expressing myself and my thoughts. Nothing personal intended or taken.

Peace,

John Velez, Witness/Experiencer

---

[jvif@spacelab.net](mailto:jvif@spacelab.net)  
ABDUCTION INFORMATION CENTER  
<http://www.if-aic.com/>

"Nothing is at last sacred but the integrity of your own mind."

---

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.  
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).