



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)  
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)  
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)  
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore  
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Here

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Satanic Abuse

From: **Tim D. Brigham** <[TBrigham@ksinc.net](mailto:TBrigham@ksinc.net)>  
Date: Sun, 4 Jul 1999 21:14:08 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 05 Jul 1999 07:55:20 -0400  
Subject: Re: Satanic Abuse

>>Date: Sat, 3 Jul 1999 03:38:25 -0400  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>>From: John Velez <[jvif@spacelab.net](mailto:jvif@spacelab.net)>  
>>Subject: Re: Satanic Abuse

>Date: Sun, 4 Jul 1999 16:39:19 +0100  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>From: John Rimmer <[magonia@magonia.demon.co.uk](mailto:magonia@magonia.demon.co.uk)>  
>Subject: Re: Satanic Abuse

<snip>

As I think John Rimmer has requested, in plain old American (along with about half a dozen others on this list, including myself), on numerous occasions- give us a case which is an

>>"undeniable experience, (in broad daylight and in the company >>other witnesses) one that quite literally robs you of any >>possibility for entertaining a 'alternate explanations.'

Arguments that anyone is attempting to deny the literal reality of abduction events may have some reasonable basis in some instances, but other than second, third and fourthhand accounts tossed out offhandedly, there have been no such verifiable "undeniable" experiences as the one described above. For many of us that sit on the fence, that's all we need. We're not asking for too much, or are we? I'd love to hear a verifiable story of such an "undeniable" case witnessed by other parties (and please, no Linda Napolitano)- a case which is in no way nebulous and has no alternative explanation, and involves other witnesses who can be contacted.

But I won't get my hopes up.

Because I have yet to hear one of these. One that doesn't come off like a scam of some sort (and I don't think that's asking for too much). One in which witnesses are not in disagreement on a huge number of important details. One which anyone could look at, without bias either way, and find to be an accurate narrative of an objectively real event.

I don't think this has happened yet, and personally, I don't think, because of the nature of this phenomenon, that it ever will.

We've gone back and forth, on this list and in other formats, about there being no hard investigation/science done to verify these claims. Without pointing any fingers, as the archive speaks for itself, it has never accomplished anything other than turning into a name calling insult bout, fueled by egos, in

which people seek to hold their own belief system above logical examination or slam others' beliefs, and discuss why such a thing can't or shouldn't be done. Apparently there is no viable way, according to the attitude of the literalists, for us to measure the objective nature of this phenomenon, so we should just get over it I guess.

Until something comes along which causes me to reconsider the 'literal' explanation of abductions (read above), I really don't care to waste much time going on about it (yes, I said REconsider, as in, I did not start into this with the idea that such a theory was necessarily wrong). I for one, plan to spend more energy trying to objectively measure other possible explanations. Call it denial of reality or whatever you like. I call it changing the theory to fit the facts, and IMO, that's the only way we will prevent this phenomenon from simply becoming another chapter in a dusty, antique 'history of mythology' book, which is where it seems to be headed.

Tim

)+(  
[TBrigham@ksinc.net](mailto:TBrigham@ksinc.net)  
<http://zap.to/DevilsAdvocate> The Devil's Advocate  
<http://zap.to/MindPhuck> Operation MindPhuck  
"Better to go hungry than to feast on lies."  
)+(

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.  
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).