



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?

From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@brunnet.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Jul 1999 11:57:04 -0300
Fwd Date: Sun, 25 Jul 1999 00:17:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?

>Date: Thu, 22 Jul 1999 22:14:34 +0000
>From: dave bowden <dave.bowden@cableinet.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>Subject: Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?

>>From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@brunnet.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>>Subject: Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?
>>Date: Wed, 21 Jul 1999 23:18:02 -0300

>>Some of us are very forthcoming . This has been a very strange
>>sequence. What is this nonsense about 1% UNKNOWNS?

>>A.Some facts:

>>1. 20% of 3201 sightings investigated by Battelle Memorial
>>Institute as reported in Project Blue Book Special Report 14
>>could NOT be identified and were separate from the 10% for which
>>there was insufficient Information.

>>2. 30% f the 117 cases investigated by the Condon Committee
>>could not be identified according to the UFO subcommittee of the
>>American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

>>3. 746 of the 4500 cases reported in The UFO Evidence could not be
>>identified.

>>Where does 1% come from? Research by proclamation.....
><snip>

>>Let's get with it people.

>Hi Stan,

>Always nice to read your mails.

>After reading your percentages I would be interested to know
>what your percentage is for confirmed alien contact.

There is a serious semantic difficulty here. I have no idea what is meant here by "confirmed alien contact" or why it would be required. Does this mean a BBC reporter taking down name and address of visiting aliens? An appearance on the Larry King show by an alien showing his driver's license?.

I speak of evidence. Science is a way of answering questions. Sometimes one can conduct totally controllable, reproducible experiments in a lab Sometimes one has to deal with predictable events that can't be controlled, but can be predicted and, if conditions are right, observed such as an eclipse. Because eclipses do occur certainly doesn't mean that I can cause them

to occur and be seen when and where I would like.

There is a third kind of event unpredictable and uncontrollable that one can be ready to observe such as solar storms, earthquakes.

Finally there are events involving the world of intelligent beings such as murder, automobile and airplane crashes, rapes etc. That they will occur is certain. That we cannot predict when or where or have cameras at all possible locations is certainly true. We do the best we can. Every criminal court judge in non jury trials listens to prosecution and defense lawyers and witnesses and decides. Rarely is physical proof available.

As I wrote I am convinced that some so called UFOs, after careful investigation, can rationally be judged to be intelligently controlled ET spacecraft. That doesn't answer such questions as the names and origins of the occupants, the mode of propulsion, the motivation of the pilots, etc. The appearance says they are manufactured. The combination of behavior and appearance says they originate elsewhere. Since the obvious most important aspect to governments re flying saucers is their technology useful for military applications, the craft observed did not originate here on Earth.

>Apparently

>you have had 41 years of study, Jenny has had 25, personally I
>have had more than 30. I notice there is a large percentage of
>IFOs and a small percentage of unknowns, in which category do
>you place the alien spacecraft? if it's in the IFO then surely
>we would see the IFO's as weather balloons/abnormal weather
>conditions/bin bag blowing in the wind/alien spacecraft from the
>planet Draconia. You couldn't possibly put 'alien spacecraft' in
>the unknown category since it has been identified as an alien
>spacecraft.

This is frankly silly. The BBSR 14 definition of 'unknown' "was assigned to those reports of sightings wherein the description of the object and its maneuvers could not be fitted to the pattern of any known object or phenomenon". There was no category for ET spacecraft.

>It is a fact that a 747 lands at London airport every 20 minutes
>or so, if you don't believe me just walk out on the tarmac and
>touch the vehicle.

>When have we ever had that kind of physical evidence?

Why would one expect to? Obviously the pilots are interested in doing other things. Ted Phillips has, on the other hand, collected more than 5000 physical trace cases from 65 countries with more than 1000 involving observations of unusual beings in association with the unusual craft landing in unusual places and also being able to take off from those same places. These cases involve evidence as do the many pilot cases (Dr. Richard Haines has collected more than 3500) and the many radar visual cases... and also in abduction cases. One makes do with the evidence that is available even if it doesn't include a craft in the middle of the world series. I would certainly be pleased if the US government would release pieces of the Roswell wreckage.. I don't expect that to happen any more than I expect them to auction off atomic bombs to the highest bidder . Though admittedly I really believe they have such bombs, despite one not being where I can touch it.

>In the words of Carl Sagan 'bring me a piece of a flying
>saucer'.

As I noted in TOP SECRET/MAJIC and in a number of papers, Carl Sagan has said many foolish and unscientific things about flying saucers. This is one. Should I demand a piece of a black hole in order to believe that they exist?

Should a woman who has been raped have to provide an ID card for the rapist?

>As far as the polls are concerned how many people were asked if

>they believed in Angels? The result was rather high again.

This silly.

>Roy's original question was:

>>Could you please tell us if you personally believe at anytime
>>in the last 25yrs or perhaps beyond that there has been at
>>least one visit to this planet by any form of Extraterrestrial
>>craft?

>That is a very good question and since there are so many
>'ufologists' out there maybe one kind soul could answer that
>(what I perceive to be) simple question.

I answered it in my posting. I believe the evidence is
overwhelming that Planet Earth is being visited by intelligently
controlled ET spacecraft:Some so-called UFOs are alien vehicles.
I should think that is very straight forward and unambiguous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).