



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)  
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)  
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)  
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore  
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Here

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Kenneth Arnold's 'Flying Discs'

From: Kathleen Anderson <[KAnder6444@aol.com](mailto:KAnder6444@aol.com)>  
Date: Mon, 26 Jul 1999 21:32:59 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 27 Jul 1999 13:16:26 -0400  
Subject: Re: Kenneth Arnold's 'Flying Discs'

>From: Roger Evans >[moviestuff@cyberjunkie.com](mailto:moviestuff@cyberjunkie.com)>  
>Date: Sat, 24 Jul 1999 21:35:20 +0000  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto >[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>Subject: Kenneth Arnold's 'Flying Discs'

>let's face it;  
>Arnold's stories were so inconsistent that just about anything  
>could fit the mold, even, I'm sorry to say, Pelicans.

Sorry, Rog, I feel like I could possibly get a brain hemmorage over the pelican theory. I can't seem to get it across that we don't have pelicans in Washington state. Canadian Geese yes, Pelicans no. But you did make a good point that there is some Arnold points that don't fit.

To James Easton, perception is a major key with the Arnold case. Its a hard thing to visualize when you are sitting in the UK. A map will never take the place of being at the actual location. I have read the coverage by Jenny Randles and also Larry Warren/Peter Robbins about Rendlesham Forest. I did not see a forest. I saw a stand of skinny tall trees that looked more sparse than most backyards in the Pacific Northwest. How can anyone be "scared" in this little group of trees? I was puzzled. Again it goes back to perception. What is it in comparison to. How do we logically see and analyze something? By comparing it to what we already know? If we have never been to a mountain, a hill would seem very large. We we have never seen an ocean, a lake would be huge.

I am off again this weekend to Mt. Rainier. I will take plenty of video if anyone is interesting in seeing. Granted I am not totally convinced Arnold had a good perspective of any object near Mt. Baker over 60 miles to the north. It would have to be a large object to see it. Much bigger than a 747!

No, I am not the expert here. But I have yet to come across many other people who can match my hours over the years of sitting, hiking and sleeping on that mountain wondering what Kenneth Arnold did actually see.

P.S. But of course I've really enjoyed the debate of it all!!!

Kathleen Andersen  
MUFON State Section Director  
Seattle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).