



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is OPEN

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jul](#) -> **Re: Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?**

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?

From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@brunnet.net>
Date: Tue, 27 Jul 1999 10:09:21 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 28 Jul 1999 14:54:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?

>Date: Mon, 26 Jul 1999 12:48:29 -0400
>From: Andy Roberts <Brigantia@compuserve.com>
>Subject: Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>

>>From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@brunnet.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@globalserve.net>
>>Subject: Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?
>>Date: Sat, 24 Jul 1999 11:57:04 -0300

><snip>

>>We do the best we can. Every criminal court
>>judge in non jury trials listens to prosecution and defense
>>lawyers and witnesses and decides. Rarely is physical proof
>>available.

>Stanton's wiggly reasons to justify his belief (because that's
>all it is) in extraterrestrials visiting Earth reach new levels.

>Yes Stanton, criminal courts don't always have physical proof.
>Well spotted. But there is one teensy flaw in your argument
>here. Criminal courts are dealing with flesh and blood human
>beings (dead or alive), whose physical existence is without
>doubt. ET believers have no such physical proof. None,
>whatsoever. Hence you analogy is useless. It doesn't matter what
>you believe, what the statistics of belief show. All that
>matters in the ET debate is hard, physical proof. And there
>ain't any.

Witness testimony is the mainstay in court. Fingerprints and
footprints for example are physical evidence even if you don't
have the finger or the foot. Physical trace cases (5000 from 65
countries) involve physical evidence. Radar screens involve
physical evidence. But Testimony is evidence.. Ask the judge. I
don't have a saucer whose tires I can kick. It certainly appears
based on the testimony that the government does just as they
have nuclear weapons without making them available..

The true believers are the so called skeptics. They know there
is nothing to flying saucers and all who claim to have seen or
been on one are liars..Simple dogma. even if total nonsense.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).