



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Abduction Theology

From: **Jim Mortellaro** <Jsmortell@aol.com>
Date: Wed, 28 Jul 1999 19:30:18 EDT
Fwd Date: Thu, 29 Jul 1999 09:02:52 -0400
Subject: Re: Abduction Theology

>From: Leanne Martin <leanne_martin@hotmail.com>
>To: updates@globalsever.net
>Subject: Abduction Theology
>Date: Tue, 27 Jul 1999 19:05:47 PDT

>Hi Listers,

>There seems to be an ever more growing (and dangerous)
>phenomenon appearing on this and other lists that, for want of
>any existing term I have labelled "Abduction Theology".

>Like any 'established religion' its adherants tend to vilify any
>one questioning its foundations, structure, beliefs or even its
>methodologies.

>It strikes out at those who seek the truth by 'daring' to ask
>any serious questions or ask people to think clearly about what
>is thrust at them.

>Is the earth still flat?

>Does the universe still revolve around the earth?

>Of course not and we know this now because people had dared to
>think and ask rather than what was rammed down their throats.

>Stop "Abduction Theology" before it becomes "Abduction
>Fundamentalism".

If by "abduction theology" you mean someone or ones whose belief systems, or paradigms, cause them to lean in that direction as an explanation for their experiences or the experiences of others, then you are quite wrong, as there is also the same reaction on the opposite side. Then should there be an accusation of anti abduction theology, or are you having a headache?

Should we then request those who do not have that belief system to cease and desist in their efforts to refute those who do believe? And does belief of unbelief constitute a religion or a theology? And more to the point, are you accusing believers of vilification by virtue of your opposing view or that the opposing view exists?

This is unclear to me. Perhaps it's that swamp gas regurgitating in a tummy full of Gripple(!)(?).

And what do you mean by "vilify?" To vilify is to MALIGN or to slander. Does belief in a subject and defending it cause you to accuse these of slander? The contrasting words are to

compliment, to honor. OK, I honor all those of you who proclaim not to have truth, but a damned good opinion. The rest of you who claim to have the truth can go fish.

I vilify you. I slander you. I do not respect you. But I will consider allowing you to date me. Females only need apply. Just don't tell my wife I aksed.

Jim

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).