



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)  
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)  
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)  
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore  
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Here

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?

From: **Jenny Randles** <[nufon@currantbun.com](mailto:nufon@currantbun.com)>  
Date: Thu, 29 Jul 1999 17:18:16 +0100  
Fwd Date: Fri, 30 Jul 1999 20:14:03 -0400  
Subject: Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?

>From: Jim Mortellaro <[Jsmortell@aol.com](mailto:Jsmortell@aol.com)>  
>Date: Wed, 28 Jul 1999 16:04:02 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Sheffield UFO Incident 2?  
>To: [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)

>My question is over your use of the two words, "stat" and "bet."  
>They were used in the same paragraph ...

>>>>But I would also like to see others add their responses to this  
>>>>question. Heres a stat for you. I bet there will be a far higher  
>>>>number of US replies saying 'yes' and a far lower percentage of  
>>>>UK/European responses that say no spacecraft has provably (or  
>>>>probably) landed in their estimation.

>My question was, is this a stat(istic) or are you guessing, as  
>in betting? I do not claim to know the answer. I am merely  
>asking the question.

>You wrote that you were presenting a stat(istic) and then bet  
>that the stat would be in favor of lower percentage in Europe,  
>of ET landing.

>Are there any stats which favor one or the other opinion? And  
>what would be your guess as to the reason, if true?

>Jim

Hi,

Sorry, one and all, for slipping into UK colloquialisms.

The results of any cumulative response to the question from a number of UK and US respondents will, of course, provide a stat(istic) - when they come in. As yet we dont know what the results are so we cannot know what the stat will be. But I am betting (yes, educating guesswork no more) that the results would be as shown. I may be proven wrong, of course. But its what my experience suggests would be the case. From the writings and general tenure of the UFO community in the UK in comparison with the US (woth which I am somewhat familiar) there are considerable differences that I suspect would manifest in the reply to such a question.

Sorry. It was sloppy English written in haste when trying to reply to a squillion e mails. Hope this is clearer.

Best wishes,

Jenny

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).