



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)  
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)  
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)  
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore  
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jul](#) -> Here

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Jerry Black's Open Letter to Friedman

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac@compuserve.com](mailto:brumac@compuserve.com)>  
Date: Thu, 29 Jul 1999 23:44:15 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 30 Jul 1999 23:18:44 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jerry Black's Open Letter to Friedman

>From: Jerry Black <[blackhole60@hotmail.com](mailto:blackhole60@hotmail.com)>  
>To: [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
>Subject: Re: Jerry Black's Open Letter to Friedman  
>Date: Thu, 29 Jul 1999 07:05:23 PDT

>Mr. Joe Murgia:

>I certainly disagree with your analysis that we have to prove  
>every one of Ed Walters' photographs to be a hoax. We have  
>proven conclusively through the work of Mr. William G. Hyzer  
>[and his son James] that photograph #19, the famous road-shot,  
>is without question, a double exposure.>

Hyzer did not prove photo 19 was a double exposure. Reasons why he was not able to do so have been provided in numerous previous posts and publications.

On the other hand, other photos Ed took have no evidence of double exposure and some argue against it.

Black doesn't understand the significance of the smearing of the images of the nearby streetlight and the UFO lights in the first 5 photos. The smears are due to camera motion. The smears of the light and UFO images are in the same directional magnitude. The identical nature of the two smears is at best, highly unlikely, and some might say impossible, if a double exposure where the first exposure (of the model) creates an image smear (of the model image) by one hand jerk/vibration and the second exposure creates another image smear (of the background/streetlight image) with a different hand jerk/vibration.

As I have published before, the blue beam image in photo 11 contradicts the double exposure hypothesis, as does photo 1 (UFO partly blocked by tree).

Hufford may well have seen the same object. Black does not discuss the other witnesses who claimed to have seen the same thing, such as Dr. McConnell and wife who had a good view for a couple of minutes and Truman Holcombe who not only saw the object, but also reported seeing the beam.

Before falling for Black.... read UFOs Are Real, Here's The Proof.

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).