



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)  
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)  
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)  
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore  
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jun](#) -> Here

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: Budd Hopkins

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993@aol.com](mailto:KRandle993@aol.com)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Jun 1999 11:19:21 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Jun 1999 12:32:34 -0400  
Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>From: Roger Evans <[moviestuff@cyberjunkie.com](mailto:moviestuff@cyberjunkie.com)>  
>Date: Fri, 18 Jun 1999 21:15:00 +0000  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark@frontiernet.net](mailto:jkclark@frontiernet.net)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Jun 99 19:58:05 PDT  
>>Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Jun 1999 12:48:32 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins

>>>From: Jenny Randles <[nufon@currantbun.com](mailto:nufon@currantbun.com)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>>>Subject: Re: Budd Hopkins  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Jun 1999 11:37:44 +0100

>Previously Jenny had offered:

>>>Dennis is absolutely right. The day when a  
>>>genuine researcher gets into serious legal difficulties as a  
>>>result of hypnotic regression is a racing certainty. It may not  
>>>be Budd. It could be anyone. But it will happen and, I'm afraid,  
>>>UFOlogy will be rightly hauled over the coals by the media for  
>>>allowing it to get that far.

>Hello all,

<snip>

>Likewise, we can only hope that Jenny's concerns about impending  
>lawsuits against ufologists are well founded. Why? Because, for  
>damages to be proven, the "memories" dredged up by the use of  
>hypnosis would have to be accepted (at some point) as fact; not  
>only by the "victim" but by the courts as well.

Not quite true. Richard Boylan has already been taken to court  
because of his "abduction research." He lost his license to  
practice in California because of it. It proved nothing about  
the reality of UFOs or abductions, but did demonstrate, at least  
some of the problems with hypnotic regression and researcher  
bias.

I haven't even mentioned all those who believe in Satanic Ritual  
Abuse, who told horrifying tales both with and without hypnosis,  
and who in turn sued the doctors for malpractice. I believe that  
it was just announced that one "victim" won \$2 million in a  
lawsuit in Illinois with more to follow.

KRandle, Ph.D.  
<http://www.randlereport.com>

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.  
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).