



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jun](#) -> Re: RIPT & MJ-12

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: RIPT & MJ-12

From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@aol.com>
Date: Thu, 24 Jun 1999 13:10:08 EDT
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Jun 1999 18:43:08 -0400
Subject: Re: RIPT & MJ-12

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993@aol.com>
>Date: Mon, 21 Jun 1999 11:11:14 EDT
>Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Jun 1999 20:35:57 -0400
>Subject: Re: RIPT & MJ-12

>Here is the real important part of this posting. There are
>subjective judgments being made. We are interpreting what we see
>with a best guess regardless of the sophistication of the
>equipment.

Most of the message on casual inspection is ambiguous (about 80%), but some of it is not (about 20% of the words can be made out without much difficulty). There is near unanimity of opinion to certain words and phrases. Furthermore, it is NOT a case of everything goes. Letter counts, spelling, and grammatical rules of semantics and syntax greatly restrict the number of interpretations that can be applied to the more ambiguous sections. We may not be able to say with absolute certainty that sections of the message say exactly this or that. But we can certainly eliminate many interpretations and narrow it down to a few likely possibilities. The gist of the message is generally pretty clear, even if some of the specifics (e.g., abbreviation of an unknown military unit being referred to) are very much open to interpretation.

>We are interpreting the message in the context of the
>assumption that it relates to the Roswell case.

Of course, because it obviously relates to the Roswell case (see below). Context is a valuable tool used by cryptographers, or photointerpreters, or whomever, trying to pull out a signal partially buried in noise. Human language is inherently ambiguous even in the best of circumstances. Humans apply context all the time to disambiguate meaning. And the noisier the situation, the more context is applied. Common examples include reading bad handwriting or picking out the thread of conversation in noisy cocktail party chatter.

Sure it's possible to go badly astray if one isn't careful, but you are asking us to throw out an extremely valuable tool of language interpretation.

>We have no evidence that this is the case. All we KNOW is that Ramey, on
>July 8, was holding this document in his hand.

Kevin, this is like catching Ramey with a smoking gun in his hand pumping the last bullet into the corpse and then saying that we have no evidence that he was involved with this

particular murder.

Of course there is plenty of evidence.

First of all timing. He is holding the message at the very height of the Roswell brouhaha, the very reason the photos were taken in the first place. It's not the next day or the next week. Ramey was in the midst of spinning the story for the press. He'd just gotten off the phone with at least one reporter and also the Pentagon press room.

But more importantly are the WORDS that can clearly be made out in this message (or have nearly unanimous agreement).

2nd & 3rd lines. "...the victims [or James Easton's "remains"] of the wreck you forwarded [or "conveyed" -- same thing] to ... at Fort Worth, Tex.

So something [nearly everybody reads it as "victims"] of some "wreck" were sent on to Fort Worth. How many "wrecks" were there in this period and how many of them had to have something sent on to Fort Worth, whether "victims" or "remains"?

4th line. Something relating to "the 'disc'".

6th line. Something relating to "weather balloons."

Whatever the specific context, how many messages did Ramey normally handle that contained a reference to "the 'disc'" or to "weather balloons?" Of course, directly beneath him was the wreckage of a weather balloon (the corpse?) which Ramey was in the midst of passing off as the explanation for the Roswell base "flying disc" press release.

5th line. The word "Roswell" is probably there. [Though RPIT mysteriously reads this as "Magdalena"]

7th line. Last word is "crews."

Whatever was going on, "crews" were involved and it had something to do with "the wreck."

Unless you can come up with some other period wreck that required shipping of something to Fort Worth, would be referred to as a "disc," somehow involved "weather balloons" and "crews," and required Gen. Ramey's personal attention at the height of a press feeding frenzy over a crashed "flying disk," I think we can safely conclude that this message had something to do with the Roswell incident.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).