



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



Our Bookstore is **OPEN**

Over 5000 new & used titles, competitively priced!

Topics: [UFOs](#) - [Paranormal](#) - [Area 51](#) - [Ghosts](#) - [Fortean](#) - [Conspiracy](#) - [History](#) - [Biography](#) - [Psychology](#) - [Religion](#) - [Crime](#) - [Health](#) - [Geography](#) - [Maps](#) - [Science](#) - [Money](#) - [Language](#) - [Recreation](#) - [Technology](#) - [Fiction](#) - [Other](#) - [New](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Location: [Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [Jun](#) -> Re: Max Burns UFO Hoax Exposed

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: Max Burns UFO Hoax Exposed

From: Matthew Williams <truthseekers@truthseekers.screaming.net>
Date: Mon, 28 Jun 1999 20:16:20 +0100
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Jun 1999 10:34:05 -0400
Subject: Re: Max Burns UFO Hoax Exposed

>From: David Clarke <crazydiamonds@compuserve.com>
>Date: Tue, 22 Jun 1999 12:05:41 -0400
>Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Jun 1999 20:25:12 -0400
>Subject: Re: Max Burns Hoax Exposed

>Max never fails to amaze and entertain. No one has to lead him
>up the garden path, as he is quite capable of doing that
>entirely by himself His ability to misinterpret, distort and
>misrepresent facts really does deserve some kind of special award;
>as I've said before he is simply incapable of interpreting any
>kind of evidence correctly. Nowhere have I ever claimed that six
>specific aircraft from any specific airbase were the cause of
>the sonic booms recorded near Sheffield on March 24, 1997.
>That is a simple fact, something neither Max nor his
>"Truthseeker" friends could bring themselves to accept.

Well you are making the point that there was a covert mission on top of the one already admitted to. This is not proven and is just as much fiction as the type of horrific accusations you make against Max. You seem incapable of keeping it "un-personal" which is why behind the scenes I have now joined in the little 'war' going on. Not to defend the Sheffield case, not even to defend Max Burns, but instead to defend anyone who should come under the massive attacks you and Andy Roberts make against them. If somebody disagrees with you, god help them, thats all I can say. And this has been noticed... by many people, not just myself.

You have made a bad name for yourself in British Ufology by attacking people and not facts. When you resorted to attempts to get people arrested (partially successful) by virtue of your fabricated and exaggerated version of how somebody had failed to pay a minor tax bill - you scraped as low as almost any could get.

Seeing as you claim that Max and myself have a problem with the facts... lets ignore the Sheffield case and look at the fabricated facts you put forward to get Max arrested. This was nothing to do with UFO investigation - this was pure bitterness because you were being challenged and you were so sure of yourself and your high moral ground that you investigated and interfered with a legal matter which involved someone else. This can only show you up to be the type of nasty character who would also perpetrate the Blue Hare UFO hoax of which Max and myself were the victims. This does not say that you are the Bluehare conspirator, but simply that you seem absolutely capable of it. This is the impression shared by many I have spoken to...

And did I cause this? Or did your arrogant, ignorant, childish and downright rude behaviour toward another human being give you this title? You have egg on your face and I am quite happy to keep telling people what an untrustworthy character you are and to never trust you or Andy Roberts with any personal information. You are leakier than a lake!

>For the benefit of the hard of hearing, I reproduce the relevant >section from the conclusions to my Howden Moor report which >demonstrates once again how Max is incapable of telling the >truth:

>>There clearly was a military exercise taking place centred upon>>the Peak District that night, one phase of which (now officially >>admitted) is timed from 7.30-9.30pm, when the RAF claim all >>their aircraft were safely grounded and accounted for. However, >>the evidence from both witnesses in Derbyshire and South >>Yorkshire and the sonic booms recorded by the BGS suggest a >>covert part of this operation continued after the booked >>operation had been officially completed. Many more aircraft were >>involved in this exercise than has been officially admitted as >>is clear from the 13 low-flying complaints lodged with the RAF >>on March 24 from widely separated areas of the British >>coastline.

>>It is clear that a formation of Tornado aircraft travelled >>across the Peak District on a southeast to northwest flightpath >>between 9.45 and 10pm, coinciding with the first of two sonic >>booms recorded 12 minutes apart from the Sheffield area.

>Discredited my own research?

>I think not!

No but as I stated before - your "conclusions" are only suppositions and do not form fact. Max is on equal par with you here because you simply cannot prove that aircraft caused the sonic booms, as much as the evidence Max has which proves that his witnesses changed their stories.

Another interesting fact is how all of Max's witnesses changed their stories after you and Andy R. got to them. You then made big claims about how you could show that Max Burns had lied about their witness testimonies and that the witnesses were claiming their words had been twisted.

However the truth of the situation, as you well know is that Max Burns played a tape recording of one witness who states that he was happy to allow Max to use his name, details of his statement and claimed that the man he encountered stank of aviation fuel.

After you got to the witness the story changes to the witness having not given permission to Max to talk about his case - that Max had twisted his words. Your eyes lit up when you tried to use this against Max but sadly you have been put back in your seat because Max then produced the audio taped recording of this mans voice. So you neatly skip over this major point in the case and go onto aircraft causing sonic booms being your latest evidence to damn Max's research with.

When are you going to learn... its not a game of politics... who is seen to be right... and who has the loudest voice... who can dig up the most dirt on the other researcher. Its about the case in question.

You have damaged the credibility of the IUN and yourself and a man of your standing with apparent Doctor qualifications should not be involving himself in such petty battles, and should be sticking to the facts.

I did once make a challenge to David Clark to meet Max Burns and discuss the differences at an open lecture, so that time could be saved and people could be brought back together for the benefit of research and this case. Clark point-blank refused to attend any such meeting... even when we offered to stage it at our expense in his home town. This proves beyond any reasonable doubt that Dr Clark's intention is to carry on a petty war via email - he is not interested in any resolution to this case unless it is the case being dismissed.

Lastly, we cannot ignore the possibility that there is some

other, hidden, agenda - for who would make it their life's goal to attack others in such a harsh manner?

So I make, for a second time, the offer of an open debate, chaired by a person/persons agreeable to both parties at a location near to Mr Clark's so that the case notes can be discussed in public.

Will Dr Clark find the time in his busy schedule to attend, or is there something odd going on here. Does Mr Clark have to hide behind his emails to this and other Lists in order to prove his case. Can he not do this in public - with all of the vitriol and hate left behind?

Matthew Williams
Truthseekers Research
<http://www.truthseekers.freemove.co.uk>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).