



# Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)  
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)  
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)  
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore  
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [May](#) -> Here

## UFO UpDates Mailing List

### Re: First 'Grey' Report?

From: Jenny Randles <[nufon@currantbun.com](mailto:nufon@currantbun.com)>  
Date: Fri, 7 May 1999 11:51:31 +0100  
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 May 1999 19:07:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: First 'Grey' Report?

>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark@frontiernet.net](mailto:jkclark@frontiernet.net)>  
>Subject: Re: First 'Grey' Report?  
>Date: Fri, 30 Apr 99 11:31:21 PDT

>>From: Jenny Randles <[nufon@currantbun.com](mailto:nufon@currantbun.com)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>>Subject: Re: First 'Grey' Report?  
>>Date: Thu, 29 Apr 1999 11:39:28 +0100

>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark@frontiernet.net](mailto:jkclark@frontiernet.net)>  
>>>Subject: Re: First 'Grey' Report?  
>>>Date: Wed, 28 Apr 99 17:45:50 PDT

>>>>From: Jesus Millan Arias <[jesusmillan@mx3.redestb.es](mailto:jesusmillan@mx3.redestb.es)>  
>>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto" <[updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)>  
>>>>Subject: Re: First 'Grey' Report?  
>>>>Date: Sun, 25 Apr 1999 12:19:55 +0200

>From Jenny Randles

>>Certainly in my study of British cases there are no obvious greys at all until well into the 1980's. Most - even hypnotically pursued - CE 4 s until about 1985 had more human like or Nordic beings. The classic Telford encounter (pursued in 1982/1983 with hypnosis on all three witnesses) produced different entity types with each witness and one of these was somewhat grey like. It is all the more apparent when you see how - after the massive import of greys into British culture circa 1987 (via the books of Strieber and Hopkins) the previous pattern of British aliens virtually disappeared overnight and now you are hard pressed NOT to find greys. There has to be significance in this. I talked it over with Budd Hopkins and he says that the greys were always in British cases but witnesses never knew it because the more friendly alien types acted as cover to protect the subconscious. Well, maybe. But equally, it has to be possible that the form the entity takes in a story is culturally modified. Thats my bet.

>From Jerry Clark

>Maybe, maybe not. Nothing about any of this is simple. If it were, we'd have found the answers long ago, we'd all agree on them, and we could all go home. In fact, one extraordinarily important fact -- unremarked on, so far as I know, by everybody but me -- links pre- abduction CE3s with cases from the abduction era, and that is the large number of reports of human or humanlike beings, including the blond-haired Nordics. In that sense, I think, it's meaningless to argue that the presence

>of such entities in non-America abduction reports tells us much.  
>Besides their ubiquitous presence in early CE3s, these beings  
>were appearing in pre-Hopkins-era abduction cases, including one  
>I investigated in 1978 from Sedalia, Missouri (it occurred,  
>incidentally, during the nationwide early-August 1965 UFO wave,  
>centered in the Midwest).

>>They do say that once is coincidence and twice a conspiracy.  
>>Here it is safer to say that there is more going on than just an  
>>invasion by greys and that something is occurring at the level  
>>of human consciousness on a cultural level.

>Maybe, maybe not. Where the abduction phenomenon is concerned,  
>our ignorance vastly outweighs our knowledge, and it would  
>behoove us all to be as cautious as possible in our judgments.  
>In my own reading of the evidence, I would agree with the first  
>half of your sentence and seriously question the second.

Of course, I am not saying we have all the answers and your caution is justified. You are correct about the presence of more human aliens. They appear in over 50% of British abductions. But the numbers have tumbled post 1987.

But they are not all the same.

There are aliens with beards. Aliens with swarthy skins. Yes, and some Nordic types. But the mixed bag of alien types is far greater than most UFOlogists seem to appreciate because it is easy to define a few categories that mask these differences.

There is an undeniable massive impact in British data following the books by Strieber and Hopkins. This cannot surely be a coincidence.

I agree that the explanation need not be - as I suspect it is - that the form that an entity takes during an account is a product of the mental images in a persons consciousness. But I think that is a reasonable hypothesis. Not that this eliminates the prospect of some actual intelligence being in contact.

I have just seen a dozen witnesses to guardian angels telling their stories and was struck by the similarities to CE 4 percipients. Each felt they had contact with an entity. Many reported the Oz Factor. But some said the angels had wings and gave names like Rafael (I was waiting for a Monica or Tess but none showed up!) Others said they were human. Some said they had flowing robes. Some talked of space suits. A couple only saw typical BOL LITS.

None of this discredits the fact that they had an experience - perhaps even a contact with some advanced intelligence - but I think the form it took was culturally associated. I see clear signs in the abduction data of just that pattern.

In my view the answers will come from broader exploration of phenomena we presently regard as discrete - such as CE4s, NDEs, angels and more. Perhaps that view will be proven wrong. I am not so firmly attached that I cannot see other possibilities. Its just how I read the evidence right now.

Jenny Randles

---

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your first and last name to [updates@globalserve.net](mailto:updates@globalserve.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

---

[ [UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#) ]

**To find this message again in the future...**  
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.  
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: [webmaster@ufomind.com](mailto:webmaster@ufomind.com)

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).