

Earth



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here

Earth



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> [UFO](#) -> [Updates](#) -> [1999](#) -> [May](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

Re: 'London Evening Standard' On Rockefeller

From: Tony Spurrier <TSpurrier@compuserve.com>
Date: Thu, 27 May 1999 16:50:45 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 May 1999 08:50:29 -0400
Subject: Re: 'London Evening Standard' On Rockefeller

>From: Greg St. Pierre <StrmNut@aol.com>
>Date: Tue, 25 May 1999 20:55:26 EDT
>Subject: Re: 'London Evening Standard' On Rockefeller
>To: updates@globalserve.net

>You can't be fully aware of the effects, and logically expect a
>human to create them.

Not the humans, but possibly natural affects of the crop being flattened. That's why it's imperative for a known man-made circle to be tested as a control sample, and not just one at that. Various circles in different crop at different periods during growth would give abse readings.

>You're kidding, right? I can think of nothing more damaging than
>a crop circle researcher caught stomping his or her own circles.
>Just imagine the headlines. While we're at it, why not have a
>ufologist create some ufos, just to see if the effects are the
>same!

Why should I be kidding? How on earth can you expect to know what anaomalous affects can be found in a so called genuine formation if you don't know what natural affects there are in a known man-made formation?

>Tony, they routinely take control samples from outside the
>formations. That's plenty for a basis of comparison, where
>genetic, cellular, magnetic, and other changes are concerned.

You expect untouched crop to grow identically to flattened crop? You really can't compare the two, for a comparison you certainly need the environment for the samples to be identical as possible. That would include flattening some crop outside the formation, but as close to it as possible and test the two samples, taking into consideration the time lapse between when each was flattened.

>The water's already clear. Let's not muddy it.

What I'm suggesting would help get nearer to the truth, not muddy anything.

Tony

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).