



Aliens On Earth.com

Resources for those who are stranded here



[UFOs](#) | [Paranormal](#) | [Area 51](#)
[People](#) | [Places](#) | [Random](#)
[Top 100](#) | [What's New](#)
[Catalog](#) | [New Books](#)

Search... for keyword(s)

in Page Titles

Our Bookstore
is [OPEN](#)

[Mothership](#) -> Here

UFO UpDates Mailing List

UpDate: Phobos II

From: Joachim Koch <achimkoch@compuserve.com>
Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2000 19:44 CET
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2000 20:06:28 -0400
Subject: UpDate: Phobos II

Hi Errol, Hi List,

Some time ago, I read something about the Soviet space probes Phobos here on this List. I decided to tell you what I have heard about this. Please forgive the delay.

In the eighties of the past century I've been one of the editors of the well known German magazine for amateur astronomers named 'Space'. We closely followed the Soviet Space Missions with their Phobos I + II probes as information about this slipped through the 'Iron Curtain'.

As well as others, we all were disappointed about the abrupt failure of both missions. At first, we believed in the widely spread explanation that Soviet technicians weren't capable of handling their own soft/hardware the nearer they got to Mars

--some virus that seemingly jumped across the Atlantic Ocean--

but then we became suspicious about all that.

One thing which triggered our suspicion was the book of Z. Sitchin (wait!) 'Genesis Revisited', published in October 1990 by Avon Books. There he described all what happened and showed the so-called last frames of Phobos II (in Chapter 12) for the first time and ended this topic with the words (page 284):

[start quote]

"...In his careful words to AW&ST, the chairman of the Soviet equivalent of NASA referred to that last frame when he tried to explain the sudden loss of contact, saying: 'One image appears to include an odd-shaped object between the spacecraft and Mars.'

If not 'debris,' or 'dust,' or a 'jettisoned part of Phobos II,' what was the 'object' that all accounts of the incident now admit collided with the spacecraft - an object with an impact strong enough to put the spacecraft into a spin, an object whose image was captured by the last photographic frames?

'We just don't know,' said the chief of the Soviet Space program.

But the evidence of an ancient space base on Mars and the odd-shaped "shadow" in its skies add up to an awesome conclusion: What the secret frames hide is evidence that the loss of Phobos II was not an accident but an incident.

Perhaps the first incident in a Star Wars - the shooting down by Aliens from another planet of a spacecraft from Earth intruding their Martian base. ... "

[end of quote]

In 1990, I made contact with a former employee of the 'Zeiss-Planetarium' here in Berlin which, before the wall came down, was one of the most prestigious objects in the ancient GDR. This man, a Mr. Schloessin, knew a female Soviet scientist, Dr. K.B. Schingazera, who was involved in the scientific part of the Phobos mission. He gave me a map of the (hollow?) moon of Mars, Phobos, which wasn't available at that time in West Germany and I hadn't seen such a huge map of a tiny moon so far. Schloessin gave me her address and so I wrote to her.

I told her about my education, profession and Mr. Schloessin. I mentioned Mr. Sitchin's publication, the photographs and his findings/theories. I asked her to confirm the existence of these photographs and what happened to Phobos II according to Sitchin's report. I asked for further details and her opinion about all that.

You must know that at that time everything was unstable in Eastern Europe, all the old KGB structures were (and are) still alive. So you never knew if a letter you sent to the old U.S.S.R. would ever reach the recipient. More so if you wrote to former employees of such sensible departments of the old Soviet space program. Even we here in Berlin felt uneasy when entering the former Soviet sector of our hometown which was a forbidden area for us, living in the allied sectors, for more than forty years.

By the end of March '92, I received a letter - written by hand in German. The sender was: Dr. Schingazera from Moscow. You can imagine how I felt. She wrote: (translation from German to English by me)

"Dear Herr Koch!

<personal parts of letter snipped>

Regarding the "Phobos-Mission" I can tell you:

- 1) "Phobos I" was lost 'underway' due to a mistake in the computer program. This disrupted the transmissions.
- 2) "Phobos II" did function around Mars as an artificial satellite for a while, but after a few days, while many experiments started to be carried out, a wrong command to the sun panels was sent to the probe. Subsequently, the orientation and the source of energy were lost. The result: no contact toward Earth.

All materials about "Phobos II" were broadly published, many lectures included, on the COSPAR-Conference in Hague, Netherlands, in July 1990. I attended this conference and gave a lecture. You may read these lectures in the proceedings. At that time, most of our scientists who worked on the Phobos program, attended the conference. No report about additional photographs or something unusual was heard or reported among them.

It is my opinion that you have read an interesting speculation of an American author. This happens from time to time in science.

Best wishes

K.B. Shingazera"

At that time I was also member of a strange group which is still in existence and named 'MUFON - Central European Section'. My intent for joining this group, initially, was to become a member of The MUFON. What an error. This German group acts as a sect-like invitation-only group under the reign of a man who declares what is right or what has to be wrong: Mr. Illobrand v. Ludwiger.

Once he might have done a deal with the aged W. Andrus of real MUFON to invent a German ("Central European Section") offshoot of the American Organisation. Mr. v. Ludwiger, a physicist, worked at the major German weapons enterprise, MBB (and later at DASA), and has (according to Mr. Lammer, an Austrian researcher) connections into the world of the knights with the

non-lethal weapons.

On camera, in the German (private) RTL television program of one of the major print magazines, 'Der Spiegel', Mr. v. Ludwiger, the German MUFON-offspring of Walt Andrus, stated, that he believes the American Air Force Report, that it was a MOGUL balloon which crashed near Roswell. Unnecessary to mention that inside his group one risks being banned and excluded if one dares to have a different view of reality than that of Mr. v. Ludwiger.

In the Fall of 1991, I attended the annual meeting of "MUFON-CES" and to our surprise it was announced that we would hear a lecture of:

General ret. Stubblebine and Dr. Rima Laibow (of T.R.E.A.T.)

about the 'Phobos Incident' and abduction research. As by chance, both came back from a trip to Russia where they had to investigate "something".

[You all are invited to make up your mind why this well-known member of the military (...) community and this well known researcher of anomalous trauma such as abductions both went/were sent to Russia and both have such a detailed knowledge about what happened to the Phobos probe)

Well, as the lecture went on, this General stood in front of us and told us what he liked to tell us. Obviously, he enjoyed being in a position to know more than he was telling. Then it was demanded that all video cameras should be switched off and no more photographing was allowed.

As far as I remember, they told us:

That a remote viewing probe (by PSI Tech?) was sent out to the Red Planet and the (at least surprising) result of that probe was Stubblebine's and Laibow's voyage to Moscow to verify this.

These remote viewers had (all!) seen:

-that while Phobos II approached and surrounded Mars it was watched.

- the impression of a surveillance system on and below the surface of Mars (the picture of an overall sharp watchdog appeared) which would react to any approach to the planet.

Upon my question it was said that this surveillance system was "too old" that it could not distinguish between exploring (innocent) probes and other (probably hostile) probes. Because of this - the approach of an unknown flying object - the "defending mechanism" of the surveillance system was activated and caused the malfunction of Phobos.

-that when it (Phobos II) came (too) close to the moon Phobos the "watchdog mechanism" was activated. Additionally it was seen that another larger spacecraft hovered above (and outside Phobos II's cameras) and monitored/directed the end of this mission.

Phobos, the moon measures 26,6 x 22,2 x 18,6 km by a radius of 11 km. Its most remarkable crater, Stickney, is 12 km wide. Another crater, Hall, measures 5 km. No mechanism is known so far that could explain why Phobos wasn't annihilated if the craters of this tiny body would have been created by an impact. That is the reason why many think that Phobos is hollow - artificially.

This is the end of my report.

P l e a s e

if you would like to start to tell me things

c o n s i d e r

when and why do you accept things to be real?

With my name and my person, and with my friend and colleague, Hans-Juergen Kyborg as a witness, I stand by what I've described

above.

Joachim Koch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net

Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...

Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.

Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).