

	Aliens On Earth.com <i>Resources for those who are stranded here</i>	
UFOs Paranormal Area 51 People Places Random Top 100 What's New Catalog New Books	Search... for keyword(s) in Page Titles	Our Bookstore is OPEN
Mothership -> Here		

UFO UpDates Mailing List

UpDate: Re: A Question Of Definition? - Randles

From: **Jenny Randles** <nufon@currantbun.com>
Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2000 00:38:24 +0100
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2000 20:08:59 -0400
Subject: UpDate: Re: A Question Of Definition? - Randles

>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2000 15:29:21 -0400 (EDT)
>From: Karoline Louise <KarolineLouise@aol.com>
>Subject: A Question Of Definition?
>To: updates@sympatico.ca

>What follows is a hypothetical question

>Supposing a researcher was presented with a truly remarkable
>case of missing time, with physical scars which had been
>checked out by a doctor and pronounced to be inexplicable.

>Imagine there was a child in the case who had the regulation
>nosebleeds, manifested dreams of 'owls' and/or other such
>typical 'alien' manifestations, but NO other 'UFO' component.

>Imagine instead the child told of dreams of going to sleep in
>his bed and 'waking' in (say) a previous century, where he
>talked to a man now dead, and was later able to provide a
>description of the place he had been in a place he had never
>seen in 'reality', but which could be proved to have been a real
>place from the past.

>How would this case be treated?

>Is this 'alien abduction'? or 'past life recall'?

>Or is it evidence that these man-made definitions are more
>delusory than real, and more misleading than enlightening?

>Are there really no such cases which challenge the current
>compartmentalisation?

>Or are they tending to be ignored by investigators simply
>because they cannot be fitted into any of the orthodox
>'disciplines'?

Hi,

As Karoline, I believe knows, she is right about this.

We tend to seek what we want to find in this subject and by defining the boundaries of the phenomenon in advance we decide what to describe as the 'alien abduction' and limit what it is that we consequently uncover.

There are numerous cases which are not traditional abduction cases but are nonetheless clearly relevant. Here are just a few I have come upon in the UK:

1: Two witnesses walk up a hill, enter an altered state of

consciousness, see strange human figures in futuristic clothing and hear them discussing the lives of the children as if to these strangers they have already occurred. There is a bright sky, but nothing obviously a UFO. The children find themselves disorientated, walk down the hill and discover they have been missing for 24 hours. They also have strange scars or marks on identical parts of their bodies.

2: A woman claims she was taken for a ride in a dark car by her husband and lost full consciousness but recalls being medically examined inside an unfamiliar room where she was also able to witness various images that related to scenes from her past and future. Her husband and another man spoke to each other saying that they knew this story - if ever reported - would be interpreted as 'flying saucers' .

3: A woman was going to the shops when she saw a strange helicopter appear out of mist dead ahead. It was clearly an earthly craft with normal humans inside, who were talking about an 'experiment'. She watches them as they watch her, but her recall becomes hazy and confused and she forgets the encounter for weeks. Memory returns gradually when she starts to notice physical changes to the environment in the location where the helicopter had been. A tree that was not there before, but is now, and so on.

These are just some of the cases in my files that have features of an abduction but are not abductions in any traditional sense. There are many more.

In fact, even in one of the most classic UK abductions (that in Aveley, Essex October 1974) its essence - pre hypnotic recall - is very odd.

Put simply a family were driving home, encountered a bank of green mist, drove into it, felt a bump as if they were sucked up and cast down onto the road again and now found it was hours into the future. Their entire lifestyle altered and they were almost like different people (they did not even like the same foods they once did). There was no conscious recall of an alien abduction. It only appeared, via dreams, then through hypnosis, but crystallised out of very strange images in which the car was floated upwards, turned transparent and the family drifted 'out of the body' and saw themselves both in the car and out of it inside a strange room.

It is easy to see how only minor changes of emphasis, perhaps subtle guiding according to the perspective of the investigator or beliefs adopted by the witnesses, could push this case in at least two ways.

Towards the alien abduction scenario eventually created for this case.

Or towards the idea that the car ran off the road in the mist, the family had a near death experience and returned from it later confused and disorientated with only vague conscious recall.

Indeed I have quite a few cases where the NDE / Abduction overlap is even more marked than here. But I don't think the border confusion between case types is confined to just these two phenomena.

Its an area I've been exploring a lot lately whilst researching a book called 'Time Storms' - where I have realised (after many years of putting these pieces together) that the abduction is but a part of a far wider whole and we need to embrace a much broader range of cases to figure out what is going on here.

IMO Karoline is spot on . There is a decidedly extraordinary phenomenon occurring and its parameters are much wider than the few cases that Ufologists adopt as their own because they fit our interpretation of the evidence.

Remember - as I have found in virtually all UK abductions - the abduction prone personality (the type of individual to whom these things most often occur) almost invariably has a track record of strange phenomena throughout their lives. It includes psychic toys (balls of light played with as children), ESP, precognition, apparitions, out of body states and so forth. Very

often the abduction emerges from within this pattern as a memorable but far from unique event in an otherwise long record of anomalous phenomena.

I think it may well be a serious mistake not to treat this data as a continuum but to pick and choose the bits we think we want to concentrate upon because they fit in with our own interpretation of what's going on here.

Best wishes,

Jenny Randles

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[[This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) | [MUFON Ontario](#)]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@globalserve.net
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp - ++ 416-696-0304

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.
To subscribe please send your first and last name to updates@globalserve.net
Message submissions should be sent to the same address.

[[UFO Topics](#) | [People](#) | [Ufomind What's New](#) | [Ufomind Top Level](#)]

To find this message again in the future...
Link it to the appropriate [Ufologist](#) or [UFO Topic](#) page.

Archived as a public service by [Area 51 Research Center](#) which is not responsible for content.
Software by Glenn Campbell. Technical contact: webmaster@ufomind.com

Financial support for this web server is provided by the [Research Center Catalog](#).